Two New Cerebrosides from the Aerial Parts of Tithonia diversifolia

by Gui-Jun Zhao^a)¹), Zhong-Xin Xi^a)¹), Wan-Sheng Chen^b), Xia Li^b), Yan Wang^a), and Lian-Na Sun^{*a})

 ^a) School of Pharmacy, Second Military Medical University, Guohe Road 325[#], Shanghai 200433, P. R. China (phone/fax: +86-21-81871308; e-mail: sssnmr@yahoo.com.cn)
^b) Department of Pharmacy, Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Fengyang Road 415[#], Shanghai 200003, P. R. China

Two new cerebrosides, (2R)-*N*-{(1S,2S,3R,8E)-1-[$(\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2,3-dihydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl}-2-hydroxyhexadecanamide (1) and (2R)-*N*-{(1S,2R,8E)-1-[$(\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl}-2-hydroxyhexadecanamide (2), were isolated from the aerial parts of *Tithonia diversifolia* (HEMSL.) A. Gray. Their structures were determined on the basis of spectroscopic analysis (IR, HR-ESI-MS, and 1D-, and 2D-NMR).

Instruction. - Tithonia diversifolia (HEMSL.) A. GRAY (Compositae) is known as Mexican Sunflower, tree marigold, shrub sunflower or Japanese sunflower, sepeleba, pua renga, kava-kava, and matala, natives to Mexico and Central America, and it has also been introduced to other countries to serve as ornamental and green manure to prevent soil erosion [1]. Presently, field investigations showed that T. diversifolia had been currently distributed in 53 counties of Yunnan Province, China. T. diversifolia is known to be used in folk medicine to treat various illnesses including malaria [2][3], inflammation [4], diabetes [5], haematomas, dissolving lumps [1], as well as bacterial and parasitic infections [6]. Meanwhile, the cytotoxic, anti-amoebic, and spasmolytic activities [7][8] have been described. Phytochemical investigations of T. diversifolia revealed the presence of sesquiterpenes [9][10] and chromones [11] as major constituents, although flavonoids [10], quininic acid, diterpenes, anthraquinones, ceramide [12], and furocoumarin have also been isolated. Among these isolates, tagitinin C was the major sesquiterpene lactone and showed gastroprotective [13] and anti-human-glioblastoma activities [14] [15]. Chlorogenic acids from Tithonia diversifolia exhibited better anti-inflammatory effects than indomethacin and its sesquiterpene lactones [16].

To find biologically active substances, the aerial parts of *T. diversifolia* were phytochemically investigated to afford two new cerebrosides, (2R)-N-{(1S,2S,3R,8E)-1-[$(\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2,3-dihydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl}-2-hydroxyhexade-canamide (**1**) and (2R)-N-{(1S,2R,8E)-1-[$(\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl}-2-hydroxyhexadecanamide (**2**). Here, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of these two new cerebrosides (*Fig. 1*).

¹⁾ The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

^{© 2012} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1 and 2

Results and Discussion. – Compound **1** was obtained as white powder. Its molecular formula was determined as $C_{40}H_{77}NO_{10}$ by its HR-ESI-MS (m/z 732.5595 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{40}H_{78}NO_{10}^+$; calc. 732.5620)) and ¹³C-NMR data analysis. The IR spectrum of 1 evidenced the presence of OH (3385 cm⁻¹), amide (1635 and 1540 cm⁻¹), olefine (1698 cm⁻¹), sp³-C-H (2920 and 2850 cm⁻¹), C-H (and 1384 cm⁻¹), and C-O (1079 cm^{-1}) groups. The NMR spectra of **1** (*Table*) exhibited signals of an amide N-H $(\delta(H) 8.56 (d, J = 9.0, 1 H))$ and a C=O group $(\delta(C) 175.6)$, and of several H-atoms $(\delta(H) 1.20 - 1.30 (m, 36 H) \text{ and } 0.84 (t, 6 H))$, indicating that 1 might belong to the sphingolipid class. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **1** revealed the presence of a (E)-C=C bond with a signal at $\delta(H)$ 5.49 (*dt*, $J = 15.0, 6.0, H-C(9)^2$) and 5.46 (*dt*, $J = 15.0, 6.0, H-C(9)^2$) H–C(10)), while the 15.0-Hz coupling constant indicated an (E)-geometry. This was confirmed by the following HSQC correlations: H-C(9)/C(9) (δ (C) 130.6) and H–C(10/C(10) (δ (C) 130.8). In addition, signals at δ (H) 4.93 (d, J = 7.8, 1 H), 4.16– 4.21 (m, 2 H), 3.83-3.85 (m, 1 H), 4.33 (dd, J = 5.4, 12.0, 1 H), and 4.47 (d, J = 2.4, 12.0, 1 H) confirmed the presence of the glucopyranose moiety. The ¹³C-NMR signals at $\delta(C)$ 105.6, 75.1, 78.5, 71.4, 78.4, and 62.5 also suggested that the sugar in **1** was a glucopyranose. The glucopyranose molety was determined to have the β -configuration, supported by the coupling constant of the glucose anomeric H-atom ($\delta(H)$ 4.93, J =7.8). The ¹³C-NMR signals at $\delta(C)$ 70.4 (C(1)), 75.8 (C(3)), 72.4 (C(4)), and 72.4 (C(2')) indicated the presence of four more oxygenated C-atoms. This was confirmed by the following HSQC correlations: δ (H) 4.50 (*dd*, *J* = 4.2, 10.8, 1 H–C(1)), 4.69 (*dd*, $J = 6.6, 10.8, 1 \text{ H} - C(1))/\delta(C) 70.4; \delta(H) 4.27 (dd, J = 4.8, 7.2, H - C(3))/\delta(C) 75.8; \delta(H)$ $4.16 - 4.21 (m, H-C(4))/\delta(C)$ 72.4, and $\delta(H) 4.56 (dd, J = 3.6, 7.8, H-C(2'))/\delta(C)$ 72.4. Furthermore, the H-atom signal at $\delta(H)$ 5.26–5.30 (m, H–C(2)) and the C-atom signals at $\delta(C)$ 70.4 (C(1)), 51.4(C(2)), 75.8 (C(3)), and 72.4 (C(4)) in the NMR spectra of 1 were in good agreement with those of a reported cerebroside with a 1,3,4trihydroxy long-chain base [17]. This was confirmed by the following ¹H,¹H-COSY correlations (Fig. 2): $\delta(H)$ 4.69 (H_b-C(1))/H-C(2), H-C(2)/4.27 (H-C(3)), 4.27/ H–C(4) and HMBC correlations (Fig. 2): $\delta(H)$ 4.69 (H_b–C(1)) and 4.50 (H_a–C(1)) with $\delta(C)$ 51.4 (C(2) and 75.8 (C(3)); 5.28 (H–C(2)) with 70.4 (C(1)) and 72.4 (C(4)); and 4.27 (H-C(3)) with 70.4 (C(1)). According to the MS data, the number of C-atoms in the lipid's base and lipid's amide were determined to be 18 (fragment ions at m/z316.2829 ($[M + NH_3]^+$)) and 16 (fragment ions at m/z 272.2595 ($[M + NH_3]^+$)), respectively (Fig. 3). Meanwhile, the position of the C=C bond was confirmed by the

²⁾ Atom numbering as shown in Fig. 1. For systematic names, see the Exper. Part.

Postion	1		2	
	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$
1	4.50 (dd, J = 4.2, 10.8, 1 H),	70.4	4.50 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> = 4.8, 10.8, 1 H),	70.4
	4.69 (dd, J = 6.6, 10.8, 1 H)		4.72 (dd, J = 6.6, 10.8, 1 H)	
2	5.26–5.30 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	51.4	4.68–4.74 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	54.5
3	4.27 (dd, J = 4.8, 7.2, 1 H)	75.8	4.18 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> = 4.8, 7.2, 1 H)	71.2
4	4.16–4.21 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	72.4	1.86–1.94 (<i>m</i> , 1 H),	33.9
			2.18–2.28 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	
5	1.85 - 1.90 (m, 1 H),	33.8	1.86–1.94 (<i>m</i> , 2 H)	27.5
	2.22-2.27 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)			
6	1.71–1.76 (<i>m</i> , 1 H),	26.0	$1.90 - 2.00 \ (m, 2 \text{ H})$	26.1
	1.95–1.99 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)			
7	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H)	29.5-30.3	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H)	29.5-30.3
8	1.95 - 2.03 (m, 2 H)	33.0	1.97 - 2.05 (m, 2 H)	33.0
9	5.49 (dt , $J = 6.0$, 15.0, 1 H)	130.6	5.46 (dt , $J = 5.4$, 14.4, 1 H)	130.6
10	5.46 (dt , $J = 6.0$, 15.0, 1 H)	130.8	5.44 (dt, J = 5.4, 14.4, 1 H)	130.2
11	2.07–2.13 (<i>m</i> , 2 H)	33.3	2.06 - 2.12 (m, 2 H)	33.0
12-16	1.20–1.30 (<i>m</i> , 10 H)	29.5-30.3	1.20-1.30 (<i>m</i> , 10 H)	29.5-30.3
17	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H)	22.9	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H)	22.9
18	0.84 (t, J = 6.6, 3 H)	14.3	0.84 (t, J = 6.6, 3 H)	14.3
1′	_	175.6	_	175.5
2′	4.56 (dd, J = 3.6, 7.8, 1 H)	72.4	4.60 (dd, J = 3.6, 7.8, 1 H)	72.4
3'	1.94 - 2.00 (m, 1 H),	35.5	1.94 - 2.00 (m, 1 H),	35.6
	2.14–2.19 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)		2.14–2.19 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	
4′	1.80 - 1.90 (m, 2 H)	25.8	1.75–1.83 (<i>m</i> , 2 H)	25.8
5' - 14'	1.20–1.30 (<i>m</i> , 20 H)	29.5-30.3	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 20 H)	29.5-30.3
15'	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H)	22.9	1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H)	22.9
16′	0.84 (t, J = 6.6, 3 H)	14.3	0.84 (t, J = 6.6, 3 H)	14.3
NH	8.56 (d, J = 9.0, 1 H)		8.43 (d, J = 8.4, 1 H)	
1″	4.93 (d, J = 7.8, 1 H)	105.6	4.90 (d, J = 7.8, 1 H)	105.7
2''	3.99 (dd, J = 7.8, 7.8, 1 H)	75.1	4.02 (dd, J = 7.8, 7.8, 1 H)	75.1
3″	4.16–4.21 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	78.5	4.19–4.22 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	78.6
4''	4.16–4.21 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	71.4	4.16–4.21 (<i>m</i> , 1 H)	71.6
5″	3.83 - 3.85 (m, 1 H)	78.4	3.94 - 4.02 (m, 1 H)	78.5
6″	4.33 (dd, J = 5.4, 12.0, 1 H),	62.5	4.35 (dd, J = 5.4, 12.0, 1 H),	62.7
	4.47 (dd, J = 2.4, 12.0, 1 H)		4.50 (dd, J = 2.4, 12.0, 1 H)	

Table. ¹*H*- and ¹³*C*-*NMR Data* (C_5D_5N) for Compounds **1** and **2**. δ in ppm, *J* in Hz. Atom numbering as indicated in *Fig.* 1.

NMR spectrum and ESI-MS/MS fragment analysis (fragment ions at m/z 133.1013 and 107.0849; *Fig.* 3). The location of the glucopyranose moiety was fixed at C(1) by the observed HMBC correlation from H–C(1") to C(1) (δ (C) 70.4), and the H-atom signals at δ (H) 4.50 (H–C(1)) and 4.69 (H–C(1)) showed correlations with the glucose anomeric C-atom signal at δ (C) 105.6. The chemical shifts of the C-atom signals of C₂– C₄ of glucosphingolipids are especially suitable for determination of the absolute configuration of the phytosphingosine moiety [18][19]. Based on the literature and the ¹³C-NMR spectral data, the relative configuration of C(2) (δ (C) 51.4)), C(3) (δ (C) 75.8), and C(4) (δ (C) 72.4) were determined as (2*S*), (3*S*), and (4*R*), respectively. It is



Fig. 2. $^{1}\!H,^{1}\!H\text{-}COSY\left(-\!\!-\!\!\right)$ and key HMBC $(H\!\rightarrow\!C)$ correlations of compounds 1 and 2



Fig. 3. Key HR-ESI-MS/MS fragment ions of compound 1

reported that the absolute configuration of C(2') in all cerebrosides isolated from natural plants is (*R*). The chemical shift of C(2') (δ (C) 72.4) is very similar to those cerebrosides which have the same configuration [18][19]. Thus, based on the above evidences, compound **1** was determined as (2*R*)-*N*-{(1*S*,2*S*,3*R*,8*E*)-1-[(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2,3-dihydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl}-2-hydroxyhexadecanamide.

Compound **2** was obtained as a white powder, whose molecular formula $C_{40}H_{77}NO_9$ was inferred from the positive-ion HR-ESI-MS (m/z 716.5660 ($[M + H]^+$, $C_{40}H_{78}NO_9^+$; calc. 716.5673)). The IR, NMR, and mass spectra were similar to those of compound **1**, which indicated that compound **2** had a glucosylceramide structure. The main difference, compared with compound **1**, was the absence of HO–C(4) in compound **2**. The length of the chain and the location of the C=C bond were the same as in **1**. The C-atom chemical shifts at $\delta(C)$ 54.5 (C(2)), 71.2 (C(3)), and 72.4 (C(2')) indicated the (2S), (3S), and (2'R) configurations, respectively, in agreement with those reported cerebrosides [20]. Accordingly, compound **2** was determined as (2R)-N-{(1S,2R,8E)-1-[(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl}-2-hydroxyhexadecanamide.

The work was financially supported by a grant from the *Scientific Foundation of Shanghai*, China (code 08DZ1971503), and a grant from the *National Natural Science Foundation of China* (code 20872177).

Experimental Part

General. Silica gel GF_{254} (SiO₂, 100–200 mesh; Luyou company of Yantai), RP-C18 (43–60 µm, Merck). TLC: Silica gel 60 RP-18 F 254 (Merck) and MCI gel (Mitsubishi chemical corporation). Optical rotations: Perkin-Elmer polarimeter (serial No. 9903). IR Spectra: Bruker Vector 22 spectrometer; KBr pellet; $\tilde{\nu}$ in cm⁻¹. NMR Spectra: Bruker DRX-600 spectrometer at 600 (¹H) and 150 MHz (¹³C); δ in ppm rel. to the solvent peaks δ (H) 7.22 and δ (C) 135.5 for pyridine, J in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: Varian MAT-212 mass spectrometer and a Agilent Technologies 6538 UHD accurate-mass Q-TOF LC/MS spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, MA, USA); in m/z.

Plant Material. Aerial parts of *Tithonia diversifolia* (HEMSL.) A. GRAY (Compositae), collected in Mengzi, Yunnan Province, China in September, 2007 were identified by *W.-S. C.* A voucher specimen (No. TD20070927) was deposited with the Department of Pharmacognosy, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China.

Extraction and Isolation. The aerial parts of *T. diversifolia* (21 kg) were percolated with 80% EtOH $(3 \times 200 \text{ l}, \text{ total amount } 600 \text{ l})$ at r.t., and the EtOH was removed under reduced pressure to give a residue (2.48 kg), The residue was suspended with H₂O (21 l) and then extracted with petroleum ether $(3 \times 21 \text{ l})$, AcOEt $(4 \times 21 \text{ l})$, and BuOH $(3 \times 21 \text{ l})$ to afford 128.0 g of AcOEt extract.

The AcOEt extract (80 g) was subjected to CC (SiO₂ (100–200 mesh, 110 × 18 cm); CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 30:1, 15:1, 10:1, 5:1, 3:1, 2:1, and MeOH) to yield seven fractions, *Frs.* 1–7. *Fr.* 3 was subjected to CC (*MCI* gel (20 × 5 cm); MeOH/H₂O 4:1, 1:1, 1:4, and MeOH) to give four fractions, *Frs.* 3.1–3.4. Compounds 1 (76.3 mg) and 2 (34.8 mg) were purified by CC (*ODS* gel (15 × 2.5 cm); MeOH/H₂O 9:1, 19:1) from *Fr.* 3.4.

 $\begin{array}{l} (2 \mathrm{R}) - \mathrm{N-}\{(18,\!28,\!3 \mathrm{R},\!8 \mathrm{E})^{-1} - [(\beta - \mathrm{D-}Glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]^{-2},\!3\text{-}dihydroxyheptadec-8\text{-}en-1\text{-}yl]^{-2} + hydroxyhexadecanamide (\mathbf{1}). White powder. [a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20} = + 9.1 (c = 0.35, \mathrm{MeOH}). \mathrm{IR} (\mathrm{KBr}): 3385, 2920, 2850, 1716, 1698, 1635, 1540, 1467, 1384, 1254, 1079. ^{1}\mathrm{H-} and ^{13}\mathrm{C-}\mathrm{NMR}: see the Table. ESI-\mathrm{MS}: 733.79 ([M + \mathrm{H}]^{+}), 755.66 ([M + \mathrm{Na}]^{+}), 767.37 ([M + \mathrm{Cl}]^{-}). \mathrm{HR-}\mathrm{ESI-}\mathrm{MS}/\mathrm{MS}: 732.5595 ([M + \mathrm{H}]^{+}), 754.5413 ([M + \mathrm{Na}]^{+}), 570.5101 ([M - \mathrm{Glu} + \mathrm{H}]^{+}), 552.4984, 534.4878, 516.4776, 344.2806, 316.2829, 298.2732, 280.2635, 272.2595, 262.2528, 245.2266, 133.1013, 107.0849. \end{array}$

(2R)-N-{(15,2R,8E)- $1-[(\beta$ -D-Glucopyranosyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxyheptadec-8-en-1-yl]-2-hydroxyhexadecanamide (2). White powder. [a]₂₀^D = +9 (c = 0.3, MeOH). IR (KBr): 3395, 2917, 2849, 1650, 1541, 1470, 1384, 1251, 1072. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see the *Table*. ESI-MS: 752.33([M + Cl]⁻). HR-ESI-MS/MS: 716.5660 ([M + H]⁺), 738.5480 ([M + Na]⁺), 554.5139 ([M - Glu + H]⁺), 536.5020, 518.4934, 300.2893, 282.2794, 264.2684, 247.2416, 135.1165, 109.1011.

HELVETICA CHIMICA ACTA - Vol. 95 (2012)

REFERENCES

- [1] I. O. Oyewole, Z. J. Magaji, O. A. Awoyinka, J. Med. Plants Res. 2007, 1, 30.
- [2] T. Stangeland, P. E. Alele, E. Katuura, K. A. Lye, J. Ethnopharmacol. 2011, 137, 154.
- [3] R. Muganga, L. Angenot, M. Tits, M. Frédérich, J. Ethnopharmacol. 2010, 128, 52.
- [4] V. B. Owoyele, C. O. Wuraola, A. O. Soladoye, S. B. Olaleye, J. Ethnopharmacol. 2004, 90, 317.
- [5] T. Miura, K. Nosaka, H. Ishii, T. Ishida, Biol. Pharm. Bull. 2005, 28, 2152.
- [6] P. G. Kareru, A. N. Gachanja, J. M. Keriko, G. M. Kenji, Afr. J. Tradit. 2008. 5, 51.
- [7] L. Tona, K. Kambu, N. Ngimbi, K. Cimanga, A. J. Vlietinck, J. Ethnopharmacol. 1998, 61, 57.
- [8] L. Tona, K. Kambu, N. Ngimbi, K. Mesia, O. Penge, M. Lusakibanza, K. Cimanga, T. D. Bruyne, S. Apers, J. Totte, L. Pieters, A. J. Vlietinck, *Phytomedicine* 2000. 7, 31.
- [9] Y.-H. Kuo, C.-H. Chen, J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 827.
- [10] M. Kuroda, A. Yokosuka, R. Kobayashi, M. Jitsuno, H. Kando, K. Nosaka, H. Ishii, T. Yamori, Y. Mimaki, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2007, 55, 1240.
- [11] H.-L. Zhai, G.-J. Zhao, G.-J. Yang, H. Sun, B. Yi, L.-N. Sun, W.-S. Chen, S.-Q. Zheng, Chem. Nat. Compd. 2010, 46, 198.
- [12] M. Y. Bouberte, K. Krohn, H. Hussain, E. Dongo, B. Schulz, Q. Hu, Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 2006, 61, 78.
- [13] M. E. Sánchez-Mendoza, A. Reyes-Ramírez, L. C. Antonio, L. M. Jiménez, J. Rodríguez-Silverio, J. Arrieta, *Molecules* 2011, 16, 665.
- [14] M.-H. Liao, W.-C. Lin, H.-C. Wen, H.-F. Pu, Fitoterapia 2011, 82, 331.
- [15] M.-Y. Lee, M.-H. Liao, Y.-N. Tsai, K.-H. Chiu, H.-C. Wen, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 2347.
- [16] D. A. Chagas-Paula, R. B. de Oliveira, V. C. da Silva, L. Gobbo-Neto, T. H. Gasparoto, A. P. Campanelli, L. H. Faccioli, F. B. Da Costa, J. Ethnopharmacol. 2011, 136, 355.
- [17] D. Pei, J.-X. Liu, D.-L. Di, Fitoterapia 2010, 81, 838.
- [18] W. Zhang, T. Li, R. Liu, G. Yang, H. Chen, Fitoterapia 2006, 77, 336.
- [19] L. Chen, H. Li, H. Song, G. Zhang, Fitoterapia 2009, 80, 517.
- [20] X. Chen, Y.-L. Wu, D. Chen, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3529.

Received December 30, 2011